Planning for Growth In Comal County

Current run (last updated Feb 10, 2017 9:24am)

Where do you live?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response options</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comal County</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of Comal County</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Who do you represent today?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response options</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual/resident</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community group or nonprofit agency</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local business</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government agency</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What do you see as the biggest threat to water quality in Comal County?

Responses

Density and lack of zoning.  
Project updates that actually solve an issue.  
County authority for zoning and land use.  
Growth, lack of tree ordinance & municipal control over development, trail system.  
The county having little control over zoning particularly in control growth. i.e. The Veramundi development.  
Power of development.  
Humans and unplanned growth.  
Loss of intact riparian communities.  
Stormwater runoff and pollution from subdivisions, animals etc.  
Unrestricted growth.  
Amount of data available.  
Growth.  
Project implementation.  
Rapid, uncontrolled growth.  
Population growth.  
Development without enough guidelines from the local community.  
Sewage infrastructure TPDES permits.  
People and expansion of impervious surface.  
Limited authority of counties to control growth.  
Humans, an invasive species.  
1. Need for zoning and planning authority 
And inclusiveness of communities and agencies.
What new ideas were sparked by the presentation on water quality?

Responses

- Lack of state involvement in pursuing open land.
- Cibolo creek. The 281 corridor. Roads!
- Surprised that there are so many organizations that will help with funding and that the referendums were so successful
- open space at 281 and 46
- awareness of what's out there
- connecting resources to projects....
- Pollution run off: fertilizer, oil, etc. run off pollution
- Importance of individual conservation
- The need for cross entity collaboration.
- Report from county on water quality
- Write your state rep.
- Better coordination between entities
- Power in numbers to effect legislation
- Education and raising awareness.
- Hog population control
- Participating in Dry Comal Creek WPP
- Importance of regional planning.
- Involving community in discussion and problem solving
- Growth and development of our county in huge numbers
- Better long term water quality planning.
- Get involved!
- Education of new residence
- A preserve for regional habitat conservation – mitigation bank
- Deer poop!
- how do we take care of the projects long term

Are there particular areas of Comal County where open space should be protected? Why?

Responses

- C
- B
- C
- A
- C
- C
- C
- C
- C
C
C
My backyard
Edwards Aquifer recharge and flood plain areas
All areas
Yes. Along the waterways and Canyon Lake.
Keep Devils Backbone undeveloped
Edwards Aquifer recharge zone
Most of the county, especially along ih 35.
To keep everything from being paved over
River road is sacred!
Rivers and creeks.
River corridors – healthy riparian zones would provide water quality protection and recreational opportunities
Cibolo creek, the 281 corridor & all Roadways. Why? Water quality
Guadalupe river buffer zone– protect water quality and river health
Unique environmental habitats around the county.
To preserve them for the future.
Riverfront for flooding, habitat and recreation/trails.
River
Devils Backbone is undeveloped divide between two watersheds with great wildlife diversity
Rivers
around the Guadalupe
River Road and Cibolo Creek
River banks, provide access and flood control
Flood plain areas so all can enjoy as more parkland.
Rivers
Edwards Aquifer Recharge Area
River road
River side– it is the jewel in our crown.
Rivers, no land on rivers has public access
FM2722. Beautiful and in danger of development
Around waterways – water quality, habitat, recreation
Would you support funding to acquire land to protect water quality?

Response options

- a) Private
- b) Public
- c) Public and private
- d) No funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Private</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Public</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Public and private</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) No funding</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Engagement 47% 28 Responses