# **MAESTAS**

Sean Reich, PE
City Engineer
City of Boerne
Public Works Department
402 E. Blanco Road
Boerne, Texas 78006

October 12, 2018

Subject: Cascade drainage Impervious Cover Calculation Drainage Report Assessment.

In reviewing the comments made by Dr. Lauren Ross, PE in her memo and Regent Park Subdivision Drainage Report and the Southglen Drainage Report we find the following regarding impervious cover calculations:

The Ross Memo only focuses on areas of most concern and does not provide overall calculations for Regent Park. The Following direct comparisons can be made based on our findings.

Overall Impervious Cover for the Cascade Caverns Drainage Area

Cude	Cude		
(Southglen)	(Regent Park)	Ross	M & A
27%	18%	12%	18%

### Regent Park

Regent Park Particular Drainage Areas (Percent impervious Cover)

	Cude	Ross	M&A			
7	4.94	0.0	0.57			
8A	9.22	0.0	0.00			
9A	0.17	N/A	0.00			
9B	15.12	N/A	20.54			
Overall for Regent Park						

Overall for Regent Park

8.2% N/A 5.3%

Our assessment is that determination of drainage areas by aerial photos has limitations in the accuracy that can be attained. We find the Ross memo pointing out impervious cover numbers for DA 7 and 8A very close to our independently calculated numbers, but the Ross Memo shows the areas of proposed impervious cover for the development versus the existing impervious covers based on existing drainage areas. We find that the Cude Drainage Report only varied 3 % from our independent estimate, given

the accuracy of aerials it is within reasonable variation done by different engineers to proper standard of care.

### Southglen

The Southglen Subdivision offsite areas and impervious cover differed materially from our independent assessment where 27% was calculated in the Cude Drainage Report and 18% was calculated in our independent assessment.

Southglen is made slightly more complicated by Cude using two different methods for 2 different purposes.

First, Southglen Subdivision was developed using the rational method for onsite existing and proposed conditions. These rational methods were used for a drainage area less than 200 acres in contributing area. It is within reasonable standard of care to use the runoff coefficient and assumed impervious cover provided in the City of Boerne Subdivision Ordinance Table 6-1. Table 6-1 appropriate Zoning District for existing conditions would be Zone RA with assumed 10% impervious cover and assigned 0.4 runoff coefficient.

Second, For the downstream assessment where the contributing area was greater than 200 acres Cude used TR55 consistent with City of Boerne Subdivision Ordinance. This methodology was appropriate, however, in using TR55 existing impervious cover should not be done using land use techniques. Cude assumed 10% impervious cover for undeveloped areas. We believe that impervious cover should have been based on aerial photography, which would have corresponded with 0% impervious cover for undeveloped areas.

Our independent calculations for Southglen using the aerial photo method ranged from 2.7% to 5.5% for the subject areas with a 4.05 average for the full subdivision. The Ross Memo states the runoff is from 0.6 to less than 5%. The use of TR-55 and aerial photography results in a lower impervious cover as used by Ross and M & A.

#### **Conclusions related to both Subdivisions**

We find that the offsite drainage area and impervious cover calculations for Southglen Subdivision to be outside of reasonable care. The same outlet point was calculated in the Cude Regent Subdivision calculation, Ross Calculation and M & A calculation. The three comparison studies found values of 18%, 12% and 18% respectively compared to the 27% impervious cover shown in the Southglen Cude Drainage Report. The Cude downstream assessment used higher values of Impervious cover than M&A calculated and appeared to use assumed Impervious Cover from the rational method for the onsite elements of the downstream assessment. This does not directly imply a negative impact, but M & A recommends that the calculation be corrected, and re-assessment of the impacts should be done.

We find that Regents Park was done to a reasonable standard of care by Cude Engineering. Additionally, we find the impervious calculation done by Dr. Ross, PE was done to reasonable standard of care in so far as the specifics were provided.

As noted we found many areas virtually identical to the Ross Memo in our independent assessment, however the overall varied by 6% between Ross and M & A. Variations between 0% and 5% in impervious cover calculations are common using the same methods. Using differing methods may find 0% to 10% variations. We don't find any areas exceeding these reasonable variances on Regent Park.

With limited information provide by the Ross Memo all onsite drainage area cannot be compared.

Attached please find the details tables and exhibits. If you have any questions, please feel free to call and discuss.

Sincerely,

Jason Scheppers, PE, CFM Maestas & Associates, LLC

P. Scheppers

Project Manager

Control of the Cont
Annual content   Annual position   Concentral Analysis
Annual content   Annual position   Concentral Analysis
Cascade Caverna Analysis
15.06   15.07   15.0
Note
75% Mixed Use District (Zone MU1)  High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  1.05 Streets, Roads, Highway Commercial (Zone B2)  1.05 Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)  1.00 Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)
75% Mixed Use District (Zone MU1)  High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  1.05 Streets, Roads, Highway Commercial (Zone B2)  1.05 Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)  1.00 Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)
### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neighborhood Commercial (Zone B1)  #### High density Residential & Neigh
85% Highway Commercial (Zone B2)  85% Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)  59.67 51.27 Inpervious Cover Percentage Percentage A 149% A 10.00% A 18%, 27% Inpervious Cover Calculation  1.00 5.25% Impervious Cover Impervious Cover Calculation  5.25% 10.00% 1
Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)   Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)   Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)   Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, Roads, Highways (Zone ST)     Streets, Roads, R
Maestas Impervious Cover   Impervious Cover   Impervious Cover   Impervious Cover   I7.78%   I0.00%
Impervious Cover Calculation  10.00%
<del>\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ </del>
D.5% to Less than 5%  N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

## **TCEQ Web Access to Complaint Information**

Your search returned 13 records. You may also view and save this list with additional fields (county, region) as an Excel spreadsheet.

1-13 of 13 Records

Complai	RN Record	RE Name	Associated	Status	Count	Receive
nt Trackin g #	KIN	KE Ndille	<u>Customer</u>	Status	Count Y	d Date
361625	RN1108876 19	SOUTHGLE N	CN60415052 4 ASHTON SAN ANTONIO RESIDENTIA L LLC	CLOSED	KENDA LL	07/06/20 21
<u>358865</u>	RN1108876 19	SOUTHGLE N	CN60415052 4 ASHTON SAN ANTONIO RESIDENTIA L LLC	CLOSED	KENDA LL	05/24/20 21
283991	RN1099930 55	SOUTHGLE N UNIT 9	CN60052565 3 V K KNOWLTON CONSTRUCTI ON AND UTILITIE	CLOSED	KENDA LL	04/05/20 18
283956	RN1099930 55	SOUTHGLE N UNIT 9	CN60052565 3 V K KNOWLTON CONSTRUCTI ON AND UTILITIE	CLOSED	KENDA LL	04/05/20 18
282921	RN1099930 55	SOUTHGLE N UNIT 9	CN60052565 3 V K KNOWLTON CONSTRUCTI ON AND UTILITIE	CLOSED	KENDA LL	04/30/20 18
281597	RN1099930 55	SOUTHGLE N UNIT 9	CN60052565 3 V K KNOWLTON CONSTRUCTI ON AND UTILITIE	CLOSED	KENDA LL	04/05/20 18
<u>281592</u>	RN1099930 55	SOUTHGLE N UNIT 9	CN60052565 3 V K	CLOSED	KENDA LL	04/05/20 18

Complai nt Trackin g #	RN	RE Name	Associated Customer	Status 2	Count Y	Receive d Date
			KNOWLTON CONSTRUCTI ON AND UTILITIE			
277346	RN1091412 26	SOUTHGLE N SUBDIVISI ON	CN60172384 4 SACC INC CN60511481 8 LANDQUEST ACQUISITIO NS LLC	CLOSED	KENDA LL	01/29/20 18
<u>251072</u>	RN1091412 26	SOUTHGLE N SUBDIVISI ON	CN60172384 4 SACC INC	CLOSED	KENDA LL	01/24/20 17
246375	RN1091412 26	SOUTHGLE N SUBDIVISI ON	CN60172384 4 SACC INC CN60229537 0 GENERIC INCIDENT PRINCIPAL	CLOSED	KENDA LL	07/26/20 16
243560	RN1091412 26	SOUTHGLE N SUBDIVISI ON	CN60229537 0 GENERIC INCIDENT PRINCIPAL	CLOSED	KENDA LL	09/08/20 16
241900	RN1091412 26	SOUTHGLE N SUBDIVISI ON		CLOSED	KENDA LL	08/19/20 16
240007	RN1091412 26	SOUTHGLE N SUBDIVISI ON	CN60229537 0 GENERIC INCIDENT PRINCIPAL	CLOSED	KENDA LL	07/26/20 16

1-13 of 13 Records

Search Again











